

Message

From: Kraft, Andrew [Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov]
Sent: 9/9/2014 6:21:27 PM
To: Makris, Susan [Makris.Susan@epa.gov]; Whalan, John [Whalan.John@epa.gov]
CC: Kraft, Andrew [Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov]; Glenn, Barbara [Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov]; Fritz, Jason [Fritz.Jason@epa.gov]
Subject: Toxicology study evaluations and consistency
Attachments: Toxicological Study Evaluations excerpt AK102813.docx; Animal Toxicology Studies Consistency Steps 102813.docx

Hello John and Sue,

I found these old documents based on discussions we had (ahem) last fall (ahem).

I plan to continue to implement these decisions and this organization for the neurotoxicity section of the document and am hoping that you feel you can for the respiratory tract pathology and reprotox sections of the document (I think all three sections are already fairly close).

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

The evaluations of the cancer tox studies remains an outstanding issue. I know that Lynn used the Resp. path. Evaluations performed by John and Brian, but there are likely some different criteria that should be applied to those studies that are specific to cancer as compared to other types of pathology (maybe/ hopefully there aren't? Jason?). I know Lynn and Brian spoke about this at least once, so I will probably reach out to Brian directly.

Fun!
-Andrew